

BELLOWS FALLS NEWS

Mrs. H. E. Merriam has gone to Elmore for the summer.

Rev. F. L. Leavitt will be Memorial day orator at Saxtons River.

Presiding Elder Newton will preach at the Methodist church Sunday evening.

The high school baseball team will play the Keene high school at Keene tomorrow.

The season at Barber park will open next Monday with a performance by Lederman's New York Specialty company.

Yesterday was fire drill day in the high school. The exit was made in less than two seconds. These drills are held once a month.

Miss Mary Baker, supervisor of penmanship in the graded schools of Belknap Falls and Saxtons River, has been in Boston this week.

Rev. J. T. Stocking, pastor of the Congregational church, has received a call to the Central Congregational church in Newtonville, Mass.

The half holiday on Saturday, which those employed by the Vermont Farm Machine company usually have in the summer, began last week.

H. V. Dunham has moved this week to Bainbridge, N. Y., where he will still be connected with the Caine company at their plant at that place.

K. E. Waldron of Winchester, N. H., has taken the photographic studio formerly occupied by E. L. Shaw and will be ready for business June 1.

Dr. and Mrs. G. H. Gordon were in Hinsdale Wednesday to attend the funeral of Mrs. G. C. Howe, Mrs. Gordon's sister. Mrs. Howe has been ill a long time.

Next Sunday will be observed as Memorial Sunday with services at the opera house beginning at 2 o'clock. Music will be furnished by the Baptist choir, B. C. Mason, leader, Rev. C. W. Jackson will preach.

The Young Men's association will meet the Young Men's Christian union of Springfield at Springfield Saturday afternoon. A silver cup will be awarded the winner, and the local team proposes to bring it home.

The grounds have been cleared around the railroad station and a large flower bed has been made. The beds in which the bulbs were set last fall are bright with tulips, and hyacinths will soon be out of them. It was late last fall when the work of putting in bulbs was begun, Jack Frost coming earlier than expected to interrupt the work.

Joseph Arison is at his boarding place in North Walpole suffering from a very serious ailment. He was in the employ of the Belknap Falls Canal company, and was at work on a boom chopping with an axe when the tool slipped and struck him in the lower abdomen, severing the length of the blade. Seven arteries were severed and the ankle bone was splintered.

The Belknap Falls and Saxtons River Locals defeated Vermont academy Friday by a score of 2 to 0, in the first game of the season. The winning team is an all-star aggregation, composed of the best players of the two old Belknap Falls and Saxtons River teams. Farnsworth pitched a fine game. Vermont academy made a stiff fight and kept their opponents from making but two runs. Rev. T. Stocking, manager, and Blanchard played an excellent game.

Pre-Memorial exercises will be held in all the school buildings Monday. These exercises will consist of songs, recitations and an address. Principal Tuttle will speak at the high school, O. B. Baker at No. 1, Rev. L. O. Sherburne at No. 2, C. W. Osmond at No. 3, Rev. T. Stocking at No. 4. The grades in the high school building will gather in assembly hall and will be addressed by Rev. F. L. Leavitt. The school troupe will give a pre-Memorial exercises Saturday, with an address by Rev. J. T. Stocking.

Miss Carrie Dargatz, teacher in the fifth grade, has been ill with German measles and out of school part of the week. Miss Ida Walters, teacher in the third grade, has been at her home in Castleton this week, and Mrs. H. B. Underhill has had charge of her class during her absence.

Miss Adeline Ball is visiting at Charles Chase's.

Mrs. Stoddard is in Belknap Falls caring for her mother.

Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Bugby are spending some time in Walpole.

H. F. Bond is seriously ill, but seemed to be more comfortable yesterday.

Miss Mary Fenn and Howard Fenn have returned from a month's outing in the Adirondacks.

F. H. Prouty and wife of Burlington were guests of Mr. and Mrs. F. L. Lane Tuesday.

Miss Edna Wright of the Emerson School, Concord, Boston, is at home for a few weeks.

Charles Harris took the place of Harry Winchester as mail carrier while the latter was housed with measles.

The condition of G. C. Fox has assumed a very serious aspect during the past week and on Wednesday Dr. William Hazelton of Belknap Falls was called in consultation with Dr. Corlies of Walpole.

Miss Minnie Tyler of South Londonderry, who has been a guest of Mrs. G. H. Walker the past week, returned Thursday, taking the home trip with Mr. Walker in his new automobile. Mrs. Charles Rice of Belknap Falls was a guest at Mrs. Walker's Wednesday.

At the Junior Christian Endeavor meeting last Sunday a little boy gave for his verse, "We are the peace-makers, etc." When the superintendent asked the juniors for a definition of peace-maker, Marion, five years old, replied in a sweet, lisp, saying, "A lady that makes dresses out of pieces."

Monday evening, May 23, the ladies' aid society will give the first entertainment of the season, at the town hall, and hope for a full house. The fares, "Who Wins?" will be given to the cause. The tableaux representing the seasons will follow. A strawberry supper will be served before and after the entertainment.

WESTMINSTER.

Mr. and Mrs. F. R. Chapman were in Westminster the first of the week.

Ralph Halloway was at school from Brattleboro high school over Sunday.

Mrs. Ellen Plumb of Brattleboro is spending a few days with her brother, J. M. Powers.

Miss May Goodhue came home from her school last week, ill with measles. She is improving.

Miss Nora Walker has come home from West Upton, Mass., where she has been employed during the winter.

Miss Lizzie Ranney, who has been at home two weeks ill with measles, returned to her school in Brattleboro Monday.

Mr. and Mrs. Henry Dunham visited Mrs. Dunham's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Harlan Goodhue, the first of the week. Mr. and Mrs. Dunham expect to move this week from Belknap Falls to Bainbridge, N. Y.

The wedding of Miss Annie May Griffin, daughter of P. E. Griffin of North Walpole, and James Forester of Ticonderoga, N. Y., Tuesday morning, was attended by many well-known people on both sides of the river. The ceremony was held at St. Peter's church at 9 o'clock. Rev. Father White officiating. The bride party consisted of the bride and groom, with Miss Mary Forester of Ticonderoga bridesmaid, Edward Griffin best man, George Griffin and John Fitzgerald of Claremont ushers. The bride wore white chiffon over white silk peau de soie, and white chignon hat, and she carried a bouquet of white carnations. Following the ceremony, a reception was held at the home of P. E. Griffin from 10 to 11. Mr. and Mrs. Forester left in the afternoon for a trip of two weeks to Ticonderoga and Montreal.

SAXTONS RIVER.

C. H. Humes is visiting in Massachusetts.

Charles Humes has returned from Quebec.

Miss Dora Dunham is working for Mrs. C. L. Gale.

Mrs. Agnes L. Thomas is working for Mrs. C. L. Gale.

Louis Rittenberg of New York city is spending a few days here.

Mrs. Lulu Rawson and son, Wayne, returned Wednesday from a visit.

Mrs. Elzina Holden has been helping Mrs. C. H. Whitcomb two weeks.

Mr. and Mrs. Harvey Clark and Mrs. Farrar Windsor are at the hotel.

Mrs. Lena Swallow of Tilton, N. H., is visiting her niece, Mrs. C. H. Whitcomb.

Mr. and Mrs. M. W. Brooks have been visiting their daughter, Mrs. Herbert Johnson.

Mr. and Mrs. George Wood of Portsmouth, N. H., have been visiting Mrs. Wood's mother.

Mrs. Warwick of Maine came Saturday to be with the husband, the superintendent of the woolen mill.

The shafting at the woolen mill broke Monday night and the mill has not been running for several days.

Relatives of Mrs. Lewis Tenney from Chester, Brattleboro and Belknap Falls had dinner Sunday at the hotel.

Mrs. Lewis Tenney is very ill with heart trouble and complications. Mrs. L. M. Stearns is caring for her.

Mr. and Mrs. Bert Simonds and son of Everett, Mass., visited their parents, Mr. and Mrs. J. V. Simonds, the first of the week.

A large number of people witnessed the exhibition of Badger's eye singlers given Monday night by the Howard Hardware Chemical company near the hotel.

The body of Mrs. Cyrus L. Glynn was brought here from Glen Falls, N. Y., last Saturday afternoon for burial. She died from the effects of a shock. Her husband and son accompanied the body.

George M. Cory, 54, who has been one of the prominent residents of the town since 1889, died Sunday morning after an illness of two years with a complication of diseases. He came here from Westminister in 1889 and bought the Lived more farm where he had greatly improved the house and grounds and built three cottages. He carried on an extensive dairy, and was a successful farmer, stock and real estate, and at one time owned a livery stable in this village. He was a man of exceptional activity, and in addition to his private interests, found time to serve several years as selectman, also as selectman, from which office he retired last April. He was a native of Londonderry and went from there in his young days to New York, where he lived several years. He was twice married and is survived by his second wife, who was Mrs. Silas Albee, and by two daughters by his first marriage, Mrs. O. A. Galt of Belknap Falls and Mrs. A. W. Hall of Leominster. Many were present from out of town and from Belknap Falls at the funeral on Tuesday. Rev. Mr. Pope officiated and F. O. Merrifield of Grafton sang two selections.

WESTMINSTER.

Mrs. Adeline Ball is visiting at Charles Chase's.

Mrs. Stoddard is in Belknap Falls caring for her mother.

Mr. and Mrs. Daniel Bugby are spending some time in Walpole.

H. F. Bond is seriously ill, but seemed to be more comfortable yesterday.

Miss Mary Fenn and Howard Fenn have returned from a month's outing in the Adirondacks.

F. H. Prouty and wife of Burlington were guests of Mr. and Mrs. F. L. Lane Tuesday.

Miss Edna Wright of the Emerson School, Concord, Boston, is at home for a few weeks.

Charles Harris took the place of Harry Winchester as mail carrier while the latter was housed with measles.

The condition of G. C. Fox has assumed a very serious aspect during the past week and on Wednesday Dr. William Hazelton of Belknap Falls was called in consultation with Dr. Corlies of Walpole.

Miss Minnie Tyler of South Londonderry, who has been a guest of Mrs. G. H. Walker the past week, returned Thursday, taking the home trip with Mr. Walker in his new automobile. Mrs. Charles Rice of Belknap Falls was a guest at Mrs. Walker's Wednesday.

At the Junior Christian Endeavor meeting last Sunday a little boy gave for his verse, "We are the peace-makers, etc." When the superintendent asked the juniors for a definition of peace-maker, Marion, five years old, replied in a sweet, lisp, saying, "A lady that makes dresses out of pieces."

Monday evening, May 23, the ladies' aid society will give the first entertainment of the season, at the town hall, and hope for a full house. The fares, "Who Wins?" will be given to the cause. The tableaux representing the seasons will follow. A strawberry supper will be served before and after the entertainment.

WESTMINSTER.

Mr. and Mrs. F. R. Chapman were in Westminster the first of the week.

Ralph Halloway was at school from Brattleboro high school over Sunday.

Mrs. Ellen Plumb of Brattleboro is spending a few days with her brother, J. M. Powers.

Miss May Goodhue came home from her school last week, ill with measles. She is improving.

Miss Nora Walker has come home from West Upton, Mass., where she has been employed during the winter.

Miss Lizzie Ranney, who has been at home two weeks ill with measles, returned to her school in Brattleboro Monday.

Mr. and Mrs. Henry Dunham visited Mrs. Dunham's parents, Mr. and Mrs. Harlan Goodhue, the first of the week. Mr. and Mrs. Dunham expect to move this week from Belknap Falls to Bainbridge, N. Y.

MINISTERS WHO BEGAN WORK IN BRATTLEBORO THIS MONTH



REV. F. L. MASSEK, Universalist.



REV. A. H. WEBB, Methodist.

GRAFTON.

Mrs. H. H. Burlingame spent Sunday in North Springfield.

Mrs. Etta Park Hall of Westmoreland is visiting her father, I. L. Park.

Miss Fannie Hall spent a few days at Saxtons River the first of the week.

Lynn W. Lawrence is a member of the graduating class at Leland & Gray seminary.

Deacon L. E. French of Belknap Falls has been spending a few days at M. A. Walker's.

Miss Josephine Friebe of Williamsport, Pa., is the guest of the Misses Daniels at Hill View.

Mrs. L. S. Eddy and Miss Anna Eddy of Belknap Falls have been spending a few days here.

Leon P. Redfield of Worcester spent Sunday with his parents, Mr. and Mrs. M. C. Redfield.

Miss Ruth Whitcomb of Belknap Falls visited Miss Hannah Gove from Saturday until Tuesday.

Floyd Hemenway returned to Waverly, Mass., Monday after spending his vacation with his parents, Mr. and Mrs. W. S. Hemenway.

The ladies of Grafton are invited to meet at the Grange hall, Saturday, May 27, at 1:30 p. m. to prepare wreaths for Memorial day.

At the Christian Endeavor meeting Sunday evening the following were appointed delegates to the annual convention of the Black River Christian Endeavor society to be held in Brattleboro, Saturday, May 28. All old soldiers and Sons of Veterans are invited to meet at the Grange hall at 10:30 a. m. and attend a body. On Memorial day, May 30, all old soldiers and Sons of Veterans will meet at the Grange hall at 1:30 p. m. A cordial invitation is extended to all school children and citizens to unite in the observance of Memorial day. Services will be held in the Congregational church. An address will be given by Rev. H. L. Ballou of Chester and music will be furnished by the Grafton Cornet band.

BRATTLEBORO LOCAL

VERDICT FOR \$10,000 DAMAGES.

Angelo Bulduzzi Had Eyesight Destroyed While Blasting for Ramage Paper Co. at Readsboro.

The May term of the United States Circuit and District courts has been in session in Festival hall since Tuesday morning, and the attendance has numbered over one hundred. The court officers present are George E. Johnson, of Burlington, clerk, James L. Martin of Brattleboro, district attorney, Horace W. Bailey of Newbury, marshal, F. H. Chapman of Rutland, deputy marshal, B. H. Gordon of Brattleboro, clerk, Luke Parish of Randolph and E. R. Thayer of Brattleboro, bailiffs.

Court convened at 9:30 o'clock Tuesday and a jury was impaneled in the case of Angelo Bulduzzi of Readsboro against the James Ramage Paper company of Monroe Bridge, Mass. The attorneys in this case were Charles S. Chase of Whitehouse, for the plaintiff, and J. W. Farrow of Randolph, for the defendant. The jury consisted of George L. Church of West Fairlee, C. B. Titus of Vershire, S. N. Houghton of St. Johnsbury, B. H. Phinney of Woodstock, V. H. Holmes of Randolph Centre, J. M. Eastman of West Fairlee, J. W. Fargo of Randolph Centre, V. B. Sargent of Danville, C. S. Hilditch of Brattleboro, N. S. Thayer of Randolph, and E. R. Thayer of Randolph. The jury returned a verdict for the plaintiff in the sum of \$10,000 for personal injuries. He is an Italian. On Feb. 12, 1902, while quarrying stone in Readsboro, a blast was fired, but one of the dynamite charges did not explode. Bulduzzi attempted to dig out the charge with a drill, and while so employed the dynamite exploded, rendering him totally blind. Counsel for the plaintiff charged that he was employed by the Ramage Paper company, and that it was through the negligence of the man in charge of the quarrying and the failure of goods that the plaintiff was injured. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll, were in the name of the defendant company. The defense claimed that the stone could not be blasted, and that the town of Rowe was doing the work and that the paper company was simply a financial agent under an agreement with the town of Rowe. The jury found for the plaintiff and awarded him \$10,000. The plaintiff was injured, the jury finding nothing about dynamite. The stone which was being blasted was for rebuilding an abutment for a highway bridge between the towns of Rowe and Monroe, Mass., near the Ramage Paper company's plant. The plaintiff claimed that the defendant was the contractor for the quarrying and the failure of goods and supplies bought, also the pay roll